GDB tests, CI & Buildbot BoF

Sergio Durigan Junior sergiodj@redhat.com

License

- License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY-4.0)
- ▶ https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

How was it?

► GDB Buildbot started in 2015 as a personal project.

How was it?

- ► GDB Buildbot started in 2015 as a personal project.
- We just had 2 machines serving 4 Fedora x86_64 workers at the time. And no try builds!

How was it?

- ► GDB Buildbot started in 2015 as a personal project.
- We just had 2 machines serving 4 Fedora x86_64 workers at the time. And no try builds!
- ▶ Initially it stored the test results in a git repository. This proved too inefficient over time...

► The master runs in a dedicated VM at OSCI (Open Source Community Infrastructure).

- ► The master runs in a dedicated VM at OSCI (Open Source Community Infrastructure).
- ► Most of our builders support *try builds*!

- ► The master runs in a dedicated VM at OSCI (Open Source Community Infrastructure).
- Most of our builders support try builds!
- ▶ 14 workers (11 machines):

- The master runs in a dedicated VM at OSCI (Open Source Community Infrastructure).
- Most of our builders support try builds!
- ▶ 14 workers (11 machines):
 - Sergio (Red Hat): 2 machines (Fedora x86_64)
 - ► Alan Hayward (ARM): 2 machines (Ubuntu ARM 32 and 64)
 - Rainer Orth (CeBiTec.Uni-Bielefeld.DE): 2 machines (Solaris amd64 and sparcv9)
 - David Edelsohn: 3 machines (RHEL 7.1 s390x, AIX POWER8 and Debian Jessie s390x)
 - ► Edjunior Machado: 1 machine (CentOS 7 PPC64LE)
 - ► Mark Wielaard: 1 machine (Fedora s390x)

- The master runs in a dedicated VM at OSCI (Open Source Community Infrastructure).
- Most of our builders support try builds!
- ▶ 14 workers (11 machines):
 - Sergio (Red Hat): 2 machines (Fedora x86_64)
 - ► Alan Hayward (ARM): 2 machines (Ubuntu ARM 32 and 64)
 - Rainer Orth (CeBiTec.Uni-Bielefeld.DE): 2 machines (Solaris amd64 and sparcv9)
 - David Edelsohn: 3 machines (RHEL 7.1 s390x, AIX POWER8 and Debian Jessie s390x)
 - Edjunior Machado: 1 machine (CentOS 7 PPC64LE)
 - Mark Wielaard: 1 machine (Fedora s390x)
- ► Test results are stored directly on-disk, and "garbage-collected" every week (tests older than 4 months are deleted).

How does it work?

► To *gdb-testers*: whenever we detect a possible regression in an upstream commit.

- ► To *gdb-testers*: whenever we detect a possible regression in an upstream commit.
- ► To the *author*: on *try builds*, or when his/her commit broke GDB.

- ► To *gdb-testers*: whenever we detect a possible regression in an upstream commit.
- ► To the *author*: on *try builds*, or when his/her commit broke GDB.
- ▶ To gdb-patches: when a commit breaks GDB.

- ► To gdb-testers: whenever we detect a possible regression in an upstream commit.
- ► To the *author*: on *try builds*, or when his/her commit broke GDB.
- ▶ To gdb-patches: when a commit breaks GDB.
- Breakage notifications are usually reliable. Regression notifications are not (just look at gdb-testers).

▶ Racy testcases. Perhaps the most difficult/persistent problem?

- Racy testcases. Perhaps the most difficult/persistent problem?
- ► Lots of test messages are non-unique. This makes it really hard to compare test results and find regressions.

- Racy testcases. Perhaps the most difficult/persistent problem?
- Lots of test messages are non-unique. This makes it really hard to compare test results and find regressions.
- ► Better way to store and retrieve test results (current way is "enough" for what we need, but it can certainly be improved).

- Racy testcases. Perhaps the most difficult/persistent problem?
- Lots of test messages are non-unique. This makes it really hard to compare test results and find regressions.
- ▶ Better way to store and retrieve test results (current way is "enough" for what we need, but it can certainly be improved).